	Case 2:06-cv-07540-GW-RZ Document 128 Filed 05/20/10 Page 1 of 3 Page ID #:930						
1							
2							
3	MADE JS-6						
4							
5							
6							
7							
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT						
9	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA						
0	GREGORY FALK, an individual,) CASE NO.: CV-06-07540 GW (RZx)) Assigned to: Honorable Judge Wu						
11	Plaintiff,						
12	-vs- JUDGMENT ON SPECIAL VERDICT						
13	CITY OF LOS ANGELES, a local						
14	CITY OF LOS ANGELES, a local public entity, CHIEF WILLIAM) BRATTON, SERGEANT BURRUS,) OFFICERS CARBONELL AND)						
15	OFFICERS CARBONELL AND) BOWSER, and DOES 1-10,)						
16	Defendants.						
17							
18							
19	On May 11, 2010, the foregoing matter was called for trial in Courtroom 10 of the						
20	United States District Court. The parties answered ready for Trial On May 11, 2010, a						
21	panel of jurors was called and sworn.						
22	The case was tried to the jury and on May 17, 2010 the case was then submitted to						
23	the jury for deliberation.						
24	On May 17, 2010, the jury returned a unanimous verdict as follows:						
25	WE, THE JURY, in the above-entitled action, unanimously find the following						
26	Special Verdict on the following questions submitted to us:						
27	QUESTION NO. 1						
28	Did any Defendant(s) use excessive force in arresting or detaining Plaintiff?						
	1						

1	Answer (check "yes" or "no"):					
2	PAUL BOWSWER:	Yes	No	✓		
3	IAN CARBONELL	Yes	No	✓		
4						
5	• If you answered "yes" to question no. 1, go to Question 2.					
6	If you answered "no" to question no. 1, sign and return this Verdi					
7	Form to the Court.					
8						
9	QUESTION NO. 2					
10	As to the Excessive Force claim, was the use of excessive force a cause of injur					
11	or harm to the Plaintiff?					
12	Answer (check "yes" or "no"):					
13	PAUL BOWSWER:	Yes	No			
14	IAN CARBONELL	Yes	No			
15						
16	• If you answered "yes" to question no. 2, go to Question 3.					
17	• If you answered "no" to question no. 2, sign and return this Verdic					
18	Form to the Court.					
19						
20	QUESTION NO. 3					
21	What sum of money, if any, will reasonably and fairly compensate the Plaintiff					
22	his damages:					
23						
24	1. As against Defendant Bowswer:	\$;		
25	2. As against Defendant Carbonell:			·		
26	Total:	\$				
27		TORKO STATE				
28	QUESTION NO. 4					
		2				

Case 2:06-cv-07540-GW-RZ Document 128 Filed 05/20/10 Page 2 of 3 Page ID #:931

1	Did Defendant Bowswer engage in conduce that was oppressive, malicious, and/or					
2	done with reckless or callous disregard of the Constitutional Rights of Plaintiff which					
3	would justify imposing punitive damages on Defendant Bowser:					
4						
5	Answer (check "yes" or "no"):					
6	PAUL BOWSWER:	Yes No				
7						
8						
9		REDACTED VERDICT FORM AS TO FOREPERSON SIGNATURE				
10 11	FOREPERSON					
12	ODDED					
13	<u>ORDER</u>					
	Thurston, IT IC HEDEDY ODDEDED, DECREED AND ADMIDGED 4.					
14	Therefore, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, DECREED AND ADJUDGED that					
15	judgment on the merits be entered in favor of Defendants, CITY OF LOS ANGELES,					
16 17	IAN CARBONELL, and PAUL BOWSER, and against Plaintiff, GREGORY FALK,					
18	that the Plaintiff take nothing; and that the Defendants CITY OF LOS ANGELES, IAN					
	CARBONELL, and PAUL BOWSER as the prevailing parties, shall be entitled to recover their costs reasonably incurred in defense of this action per the cost bill.					
19 20		in defense of this action per the cost offi.				
20						
22	Dated: <u>May 20, 2010</u>	George H. Wi				
	Honorable George H. Wu					
23	United States District Judge					
24						
25						
26						
27						
28						